

SaaS Completeness Audit Prompts (Including Feedback & Messaging Depth)

Golden Rule (Apply to All Prompts)

Do NOT evaluate UI quality, layout, colors, or aesthetics.
Focus ONLY on missing product capabilities required for a production SaaS application.
Assume real users will use this system daily at scale.

Prompt 1 — Data Table Completeness

Evaluate tables for:
Search, Filters, Sorting, Pagination, Column toggle, Export, Bulk actions,
Default sorting, Empty states, Data refresh.

Prompt 2 — CRUD Completeness

Evaluate lifecycle:
Create (defaults, draft), Read (detail view), Update (partial edits),
Delete (soft delete, undo, warnings).

Prompt 3 — Filters, Sorting & Defaults

Evaluate:
Filter coverage, Default states, Sorting logic, Saved views.

Prompt 4 — Export & Reporting

Evaluate:
Export formats, filtered export, reports, print views, sharing.

Prompt 5 — Automation & Feedback Systems

Evaluate:
Notifications, reminders, automation, status transitions.

Prompt 6 — Multi-Tenancy & Roles

Evaluate:
RBAC, permissions, audit logs, tenant isolation visibility.

Prompt 7 — Edge Cases & Failures

Evaluate:

No data, large data, API failures, invalid actions, retry handling.

Prompt 8 — Power User & Scale

Evaluate:

Bulk actions, shortcuts, saved views, efficiency tools.

Prompt 9 — Messaging, Feedback & Action Strength (NEW CRITICAL PROMPT)

Act as a SaaS Interaction & Feedback Auditor.

Focus ONLY on:

- Presence of system feedback where required
- Strength and clarity of messages
- Appropriateness of message tone for action severity
- Prevention vs confirmation vs recovery messaging

Check:

1. ACTION FEEDBACK

- Does every user action produce feedback?
- Success confirmation present?
- Failures clearly explained?

2. ERROR MESSAGES

- Specific vs generic errors
- Clear next steps provided?

3. DESTRUCTIVE ACTIONS

- Strong warning for delete/destructive actions?
- Impact explained (e.g., linked data)?
- Confirmation step present?

4. MESSAGE STRENGTH CALIBRATION

- Minor actions → light feedback
- Major/destructive → strong warnings
- Is severity aligned with impact?

5. INLINE VS GLOBAL FEEDBACK

- Field-level errors vs toast messages
- Visibility of feedback

6. RECOVERY SUPPORT

- Undo options?
- Retry mechanisms?

7. EMPTY & ZERO STATES

- Guidance provided?
- Clear next actions?

Identify:

- Missing feedback
- Weak or misleading messaging
- Lack of severity distinction
- No recovery paths

Output Format:

| # | Area | Issue | Impact | Area of Action | Critical |

Sections:

- ACTION FEEDBACK ---
- ERROR MESSAGING ---
- DESTRUCTIVE ACTIONS ---
- MESSAGE STRENGTH ---
- RECOVERY ---
- EMPTY STATES ---